

Angelo A. Stio III
Melissa A. Chuderewicz
TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP
Suite 400
301 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540-6227
(609) 951-4125
angelo.stio@troutman.com
melissa.chuderewicz@troutman.com

Attorneys for Defendant CoreLogic, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY
CORPORATION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CORELOGIC, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-04230

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME PURSUANT TO
LOCAL FEDERAL RULE 6.1**

Application is hereby made pursuant to Local Federal Rule 6.1 for a Clerk's Order extending the time within which Defendant CoreLogic, Inc. ("CoreLogic") may answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint filed by Plaintiffs Atlas Data Privacy Corporation, Jane Doe-1, Jane Doe-2, Edwin Maldonado, Scott Maloney, Justyna Maloney, Patrick Colligan, Peter Andreyev, and William Sullivan ("Plaintiffs"), and it is represented that:

1. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on February 7, 2024.
2. CoreLogic was served with the Complaint on February 26, 2024.
3. CoreLogic filed a Petition for Removal with this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1446 on March 26, 2024 (ECF Doc. No 1). The Petition was filed within thirty (30) days of

CoreLogic's receipt "through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based." 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

4. CoreLogic did not answer, move or otherwise reply to the Complaint in state court. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c)(2), CoreLogic's response to the Complaint is due within the longest of these periods: "(A) 21 days after receiving—through service or otherwise—a copy of the initial pleading stating the claim for relief; (B) 21 days after being served with the summons for an initial pleading on file at the time of service; or (C) 7 days after the notice of removal is filed."

5. Accordingly, CoreLogic's response to the Complaint is due 7 days after March 26, 2024 – the longest period provided under Rule 81(c) – or April 2, 2024, which date is determined under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a). Thus, CoreLogic's time to answer or otherwise respond has not yet expired.

6. No similar extensions of time to respond to this pleading has been obtained by CoreLogic.

7. CoreLogic requests, pursuant to L.Civ.R. 6.1(b), that the Clerk enter an Order extending the time in which CoreLogic may answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint by 14 days or until April 16, 2024.

By: /s/ Angelo A. Stio III
Angelo A. Stio III
Melissa A. Chuderewicz
**TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON
SANDERS LLP**
Suite 400
301 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540-6227
(609) 951-4125
angelo.stio@troutman.com
melissa.chuderewicz@troutman.com
Attorneys for Defendant CoreLogic, Inc.

Dated: March 27, 2024

Angelo A. Stio III
Melissa A. Chuderewicz
TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP
Suite 400
301 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540-6227
(609) 951-4125
angelo.stio@troutman.com
melissa.chuderewicz@troutman.com

Attorneys for Defendant CoreLogic, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

ATLAS DATA PRIVACY
CORPORATION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CORELOGIC, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-04230

**ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION FOR
AN EXTENSION OF TIME PURSUANT TO
L.CIV.R. 6.1**

The Application of Defendant CoreLogic, Inc. (“CoreLogic”) for an Extension of Time to Answer, Move or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint is **GRANTED**. CoreLogic’s time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint is extended by fourteen (14) days up to and including April 16, 2024.

ORDER DATED _____

WILLIAM T. WALSH, Clerk

By: _____
Deputy Clerk